

P.E.R.C. NO. 2015-22

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of

EDISON TOWNSHIP BOARD OF
EDUCATION,

Respondent/Public Employer,

-and-

Docket No. CI-2008-022

CAROL PARENTE ZIZNEWSKI,

Charging Party.

EDISON TOWNSHIP EDUCATION
ASSOCIATION,

Respondent/Employee Representative,

-and-

Docket No. CI-2008-023

CAROL PARENTE ZIZNEWSKI,

Charging Party.

SYNOPSIS

The Public Employment Relations Commission denies a motion for reconsideration of P.E.R.C. No. 2014-086 filed by Carol Parente Ziznewski. In that decision, the Commission affirmed the Director of Unfair Practice's refusal to issue Complaints based on allegations in unfair practice charges filed by Ziznewski against her employer and majority representative. The Commission finds that Ziznewski's submission did not establish grounds for reconsideration.

This synopsis is not part of the Commission decision. It has been prepared for the convenience of the reader. It has been neither reviewed nor approved by the Commission.

P.E.R.C. NO. 2015-22

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of

EDISON TOWNSHIP BOARD OF
EDUCATION,

Respondent/Public Employer,

-and-

Docket No. CI-2008-022

CAROL PARENTE ZIZNEWSKI,

Charging Party.

EDISON TOWNSHIP EDUCATION
ASSOCIATION,

Respondent/Employee Representative,

-and-

Docket No. CI-2008-023

CAROL PARENTE ZIZNEWSKI,

Charging Party.

Appearances:

For the Respondent/Public Employer, Wolff, Helies,
Duggan, Spaeth & Lucas, P.A., attorneys (Peter H.
Spaeth, of counsel, Patricia M. Reilly on the brief)

For the Respondent/Employee Representative, Zazzali,
Fagella, Nowak, Kleinbaum & Friedman, attorneys (Colin
Lynch, of counsel)

For the Charging Party, Carol Parente Ziznewski, pro se

DECISION

Charging Party Carol Parente Ziznewski seeks reconsideration
of P.E.R.C. No. 2014-086, 41 NJPER 49 (¶13 2014), which affirmed
the decision of the Director of Representation not to issue

complaints on unfair practice charges filed by Ziznewski against the Edison Township Board of Education and the Edison Township Education Association. On July 8, 2014 Ziznewski sought additional time to move for reconsideration. She was given a 10-day extension until July 21, 2014. Her motion and other supporting material was filed by e-mail after the close of business on that date.^{1/} The Board and the Association replied to Ziznewski's motion. Both asserted that the motion was untimely and that it failed to establish "extraordinary circumstances" as required by N.J.A.C. 19:14-8.4.^{2/}

The charging party's submission does not establish grounds for reconsideration. Given that it substantively fails to meet the requirements of the rules, we need not address whether the motion for reconsideration is procedurally deficient.

1/ Ziznewski's submission of additional supporting material and documents not previously considered was not accepted for filing.

2/ This rule provides in pertinent part:

After a Commission decision has been issued, a party may move for reconsideration. Any motion pursuant to this section shall be filed within 15 days of service of the Commission decision, together with proof of service of a copy on all other parties. The movant shall specify the extraordinary circumstances warranting reconsideration and the pages of the record it relies on. Any party opposing reconsideration may file a response within five days of service on it of the motion, together with proof of service of a copy on all other parties.

ORDER

The motion for reconsideration is denied.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

Chair Hatfield, Commissioners Bonanni, Boudreau, Eskilson and Jones voted in favor of this decision. None opposed. Commissioners Voos and Wall were not present.

ISSUED: October 30, 2014

Trenton, New Jersey